2 Real Estate Men Testify Shepard Got Loans as 'Friend' EINSTOSS, RON Los Angeles Times (1923-Current File); Jan 11, 1969; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: Los Angeles Times

## 2 Real Estate Men Testify Shepard Got Loans as 'Friend'

#### BY RON EINSTOSS

**Times Staff Writer** 

Two men engaged in real estate in the San Fernando Valley testified Friday that they had loaned City Councilman Thomas D. Shepard money—but only because they were his long-time friends, not because they expected any favors from him. Edward Lustgarten and Harlan Lee were called as witnesses by the prosecution which is seeking to show a pattern of Shepard's borrowing money without repaying it until after he had learned of the investigation which led to his indictment on eight counts of bribery and one of conspiracy.

Shepard is accused in three cases of accepting either loans or campaign contributions in return for zoning favors in Canoga Park, Reseda and Chatsworth.

### 'Doing Friend a Favor'

Lustgarten, a retired attorney, said he loaned Shepard \$10,000 on Nov. 12, 1965, in exchange for a second trust deed on the defendants' Woodland Hills home.

Excepting for a token \$250 interest payment, Lustgarten said Shepard failed to repay any of the money until late in 1967.

Earlier testimony in the trial before Superior Judge George M. Dell indicated that Shepard became aware of the investigation in October of that year

ber of that year.
In November, 1967, Shepard paid \$7,750 on the note, Lustgarten testified.

The witness said he didn't know why Shepard needed the money, but that he loaned it to him because "I thought I was doing a friend a favor."

In cross examination by defense attorney John LaFollette, Lustgarten described Shepard as "as fine a man as I have known"

man as I have known."

He said he never attempted to influence Shepard's vote and would have loaned him the money even if he had not been on the City Council.

Lee said his firm loaned Shepard

Lee said his firm loaned Shepard \$5,000 on April 13, 1965. The note was to be payable on demand.

The witness said the money, given

Please Turn to Back Page, Col. 3

# **Shepard Loans** Just 'Friendly,' Pair Testify

· Continued from First Page to Shepard because he was a friend, has never been re-

Like two previous witnesses, Lee said he received a letter from Shep ard in December, 1967, thanking him for his patience in not requesting

the loan's repayment.
City Water and Power
Commissioner Nathan O.
Freedman was questioned
by Dep. Dist. Atty. Harold
M. Stanley, both about a
\$9,700 loan he and a friend
had made to Shepard and sy, too loan he and a friend had made to Shepard and on his work as an expediter for an applicant who had a rezoning matter before the council in 1963.

Freedman testified that the money was leaded as Freedman testified that the money was loaned to Shepard in February, 1965, and said that all but a still unpaid \$2,200 was returned to him by Shepard later that year.

The only reason he loaned Shepard the money, Freedman said, was because of friendship. He said he never tried to buy Shepard's vote on any-

Shepard's vote on any-

Stanley also queried the witness about a \$10,000 fee he had received for representing a group which obtained rezoning from the City Council for 10 acres of land in Canoga Park after it had been turned down by the Planning Commission. ning Commission.

#### Zoning Background

Freedman, a Northridge attorney, said he was paid the money—\$7,500 plus a \$2,500 bonus—because he "conscientiously advocated" the matter before the ed" the matter before the City Council.

The council's three-man

planning committee, which Shepard served, re-commended on March 28, 1963 that the land be rezoned for multiple dwelling.

The same owners, without Freedman's help, then tried to rezone an adjoining spur of land, but were unsuccessful. An

adjacent seven-acre an adjacent seven-acre parcel, rezoned in 1964, is the subject of five of the nine felony counts against Shepard. The owners of that property loaned Shepard \$10,000 at the same time the matter came he time the matter came be-fore the council.

has never The money

been repaid.

They also allegedly paid a \$21,000 bribe to influence the removal of Mayor Sam Yorty's veto which had blocked the ordinance to of veto ordinance to rezone the land.